arXiv:1303.1972v1 [math.AP] 8 Mar 2013

On bounded pseudodifferential operators in a high-dimensional setting

L. Amour, L. Jager, J. Nourrigat

Université de Reims

Dedicated to the memory of Bernard Lascar

Abstract

This work is concerned with extending the results of Calderón and Vaillancourt proving the boundedness of Weyl pseudo differential operators $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We state conditions under which the norm of such operators has an upper bound independent of n. To this aim, we apply a decomposition of the identity to the symbol F, thus obtaining a sum of operators of a hybrid type, each of them behaving as a Weyl operator with respect to some of the variables and as an anti-Wick operator with respect to the other ones. Then we establish upper bounds for these auxiliary operators, using suitably adapted classical methods like coherent states.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification 35S05

Key words and phrases : Pseudodifferential operators, large dimension, L^2 boundedness

1. Introduction.

Since the work of Calderón and Vaillancourt [C-V], it is well-known that, if a function F, defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, is smooth and has bounded derivatives, it is possible to associate with it a pseudodifferential operator which is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [HO], [LER], [R], [U2]). This operator is formally defined by

$$(Op_h^{Weyl}(F)f)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\xi} F\left(\frac{x+y}{2},\xi\right) f(y)dyd\xi \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^n \tag{1.1}$$

for f belonging to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, its norm is bounded above by

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|\leq N} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \tag{1.2}$$

where N and C depend on the dimension n.
The aim of this work is to prove that, under certain conditions, the constants appearing in the upper bound do not depend on the dimension. The set of derivation multi-indices which are used depends on the dimension in a way that will be precisely stated.
We shall thus be able to give examples where the dimension goes to infinity and the norm, nevertheless, remains bounded.

In a later work we shall study pseudodifferential operators where the configuration space $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be replaced by an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, by a method differing from Bernard Lascar's (see [LA1]- [LA10]). These results have been announced in a preprint [A-J-N] in September 2012.

We first recall an example in which the constant appearing in the upper bound on the norm does not depend on the dimension. This is the case when the function F is the Fourier transform of a function G belonging to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$F(x,\xi) = (2\pi h)^{-2n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(a\cdot x+b\cdot\xi)} G(a,b)dadb.$$

Since the Weyl operator associated with the function

$$(x,\xi)\to E_{a,b,h}(x,\xi) = e^{\frac{i}{h}(a\cdot x+b\cdot\xi)}$$

is the operator $W_{a,b,h}$ defined by

$$(Op_h^{Weyl}(E_{a,b,h})f)(u) = (W_{a,b,h}f)(u) = e^{\frac{i}{h}a\cdot u+\frac{i}{2h}a\cdot b}f(u+b),$$

the equality (1.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$Op_h^{Weyl}(F) = (2\pi h)^{-2n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} G(a,b)W_{a,b,h}dadb.$$

Since $W_{a,b,h}$ is unitary,

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\| \leq (2\pi h)^{-2n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |G(a,b)|\ dadb.$$

Situations of this kind have been considered by B. Lascar ([LA1]-[LA10]) in an infinite dimensional setting.

Our approach is different, in that we aim at extending the bound (1.2). Let us specify the set of multi-indices which will be used. Cordes [C], Coifman Meyer [C-M], Hwang [HW] noticed that one does not need all the multi-indices to state (1.2) but only the (α,β) satisfying $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 1$ for each j. In this paper we shall use the multi-indices (α,β) such that $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 4$ and $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 4$ for each j. We now can state the hypotheses on the function F.

Let $(\rho_j)_{1\leq j\leq n}$ and $(\delta_j)_{1\leq j\leq n}$ be two sequences satisfying $\rho_j \geq 0$, $\delta_j \geq 0$ and $\rho_j\delta_j \leq 1$ for every $j \leq n$, let M be a nonnegative real number. Suppose that

(H) for every multi-index (α,β) such that $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 4$ and $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 4$ for every $j \leq n$, the partial derivative $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta F$ exists, is continuous, bounded and satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta F(x,\xi)| \leq M\prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j^{\alpha_j}\delta_j^{\beta_j}. \tag{1.3}$$

If $\rho_j = 0$ and $\alpha_j = 0$, we set that $\rho_j^{\alpha_j} = 1$.

Our main result is the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If a function F defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ satisfies the hypothesis (H), then the operator $Op_h^{Weyl}(F)$, defined formally by (1.1), is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies*

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M\ \prod_{j=1}^n(1+57\pi h\rho_j\delta_j) \tag{1.4}$$

if $0 < h \leq 1$.

Example 1.2. Let $V \geq 0$ be a real valued bounded function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, whose derivatives are all bounded. For all integer $n \geq 1$, set

$$H_n(x,\xi) = \sum_{j\leq n}\xi_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{j\leq n,k\leq n\\ |j-k|\leq 1}} g_jg_kV(x_j-x_k)$$

where (g_j) is a sequence of positive numbers such that, for some $C_0 > 0$, we have $g_j \leq C_0g_k$ if $|j-k| \leq 1$. Set:

$$P_n(x,\xi) = e^{-H_n(x,\xi)} \tag{1.5}$$

Hypothesis (H) is satisfied, with $M = 1$, with $\rho_j = C_1$, and $\delta_j = C_1 g_j^2$ where C_1 is a real constant depending only on C_0. In order to apply Theorem 1.1, we assume that $g_j C_1 \leq 1$ for all j. By Theorem 1.1, the norm of $Op_1^{Weyl}(P_n)$ satisfies :

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(P_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + C_2 h g_j^2\right)$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is a constant. This norm is bounded independently of n if the sum $\sum_{j\geq 1} g_j^2$ converges. It is also bounded if g_j depends on n and if $g_j^2 \leq 1/n$.

Example 1.3. The mean-field approximation uses hamiltonians of the form

$$H_n(x,\xi) = \sum_{j\leq n} \xi_j^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j\leq n, k\leq n} V(x_j - x_k)$$

where V is as in Example 1.2. Let P_n be the function defined as in (1.5). Then hypothesis (H) is satisfied with $M = 1$ and $\rho_j = \delta_j = C_1$, where C_1 does not depend on n. In this case, Theorem 1.1 shows that, provided C_1 is small enough,

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(P_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq e^{C_2 h n}$$

where C_2 is independent of n.

2. Hybrid Weyl anti-Wick quantization.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we shall split the operator into a sum of operators which will behave as Weyl operators with respect to a first subset of the variables (meaning the operators will be defined by a formula analogous to (1.1) in which only these variables appear) and as anti-Wick operators with respect to the other variables.

We first need to recall the anti-Wick quantization. The definition uses the coherent states, which is the family of functions $\Psi_{X,h}$ indexed by $X = (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, depending on $h > 0$ and defined by

$$\Psi_{X,h}(u) = (\pi h)^{-n/4} e^{-\frac{|u-x|^2}{2h}} e^{\frac{i}{h} u.\xi - \frac{i}{2h} x.\xi} \qquad X = (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that

$$< f, g >= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} < f, \Psi_{X,h} > < \Psi_{X,h}, g > dX. \tag{2.2}$$

If F is a function in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, one can associate with it an (anti-Wick) operator $Op_h^{AW}(F)$ such that, for all f and g in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$< Op_h^{AW}(F) f, g >= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F(X) < f, \Psi_{X,h} > < \Psi_{X,h}, g > \; dX. \tag{2.3}$$

We then have

$$\|Op_h^{AW}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \|F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}. \tag{2.4}$$

The relationship between Weyl and anti-Wick quantizations is given, for every F in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, by :

$$Op_h^{AW}(F) = Op_h^{Weyl}\left(e^{\frac{h}{4}\Delta} F\right) \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\Delta = \sum_{j\leq n} \Delta_j \qquad \Delta_j = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

This fact is classical (see Folland [F]). One has an identity decomposition in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$:

$$I = \sum_{E\subseteq\{1,...,n\}} T_h(E) e^{\frac{h}{4}\Delta_{E^c}} \qquad\qquad T_h(E) = \prod_{j\in E}(I - e^{\frac{h}{4}\Delta_j}) \tag{2.7}$$

$$\Delta_{E^c} = \sum_{j\in E^c} \Delta_j. \tag{2.8}$$

For every subset $E \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ and every symbol F, we define an operator $Op_h^{hyb,E}(F)$ by :

$$Op_h^{hyb,E}(F) = Op_h^{Weyl}\left(e^{\frac{h}{4}\Delta_{E^c}} F\right) \tag{2.9}$$

This operator behaves as a Weyl operator with respect to the variables x_j ($j \in E$) and as an anti-Wick operator with respect to the variables x_j ($j \in E^c$). If $E = \emptyset$, it is the anti-Wick operator and conversely if $E = \{1, ..., n\}$, it is the Weyl operator.

One derives a decomposition of the Weyl operator $Op_h^{weyl}(F)$:

$$Op_h^{weyl}(F) = \sum_{E\subseteq\{1,...,n\}} Op_h^{hyb,E}(T_h(E)F). \tag{2.10}$$

We shall now prove an upper bound on the norm of a hybrid operator $Op_h^{hyb,E}(G)$, where the function G is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The only derivatives of G which will play a role are the derivatives with respect to x_j or ξ_j with $j \in E$. For every integer m we introduce the set of multi-indices

$$I_m(E) = \{(\alpha,\beta), \quad \alpha_j \le m, \quad \beta_j \le m, \ \ (1 \le j \le n) \qquad \alpha_j = \beta_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \ \ j \notin E\}. \tag{2.11}$$

We shall prove the following Lemma in Section 3, by adapting classical methods (Unterberger [U2]).

Lemma 2.1. *If F satisfies hypothesis (H) and if $E \neq \emptyset$, then*

$$\|Op_h^{hyb,E}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le \left(\frac{25\pi}{2}\right)^{|E|} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in I_2(E)} h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \tag{2.12}$$

We shall establish the following Lemma in Section 4.

Lemma 2.2. *If F satisfies hypothesis (H) with $\rho_j = \delta_j \le 1$ for every $j \le n$, if $0 < h \le 1$ and if $E \neq \emptyset$, the function $T_h(E)F$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in I_2(E)} h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_h(E)F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \le M(\frac{9h}{2})^{|E|} \prod_{j\in E} \rho_j^2 \tag{2.13}$$

where $T_h(E)$ is defined in (2.7).

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider first the case when $\rho_j = \delta_j$ for every $j \le n$. According to (2.10), we have

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le \sum_{E\subseteq\{1,...,n\}} \|Op_h^{hyb,E}(T_h(E)F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

By Lemma 2.1:

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \sum_{E\subseteq\{1,...,n\}} \left(\frac{25\pi}{2}\right)^{|E|} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in I_2(E)} h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_h(E)F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}.$$

If $\rho_j = \delta_j$ et $0 < h \leq 1$, Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M \sum_{E\subseteq\{1,...,n\}} \left(\frac{9\times 25\pi h}{4}\right)^{|E|} \prod_{j\in E} \rho_j^2$$

It follows easily that

$$\|Op_h^{Weyl}(F)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M \prod_{j\leq n} (1 + 57\pi h\rho_j^2)$$

The theorem is proved in the case when $\rho_j = \delta_j$ for all $j \leq n$. In the general case, the announced result follows by applying dilations.

3. Proof of Lemma 2.1.

We begin by recalling the results of Unterberger [U1], [U2] concerning the upper bound of $< A\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{Y,h} >$, where A is a pseudodifferential operator and the $\Psi_{X,h}$ are the coherent states defined by (2.1).

Lemma 3.1. *For every function G satisfying the hypothesis (H), for every $X = (x,\xi)$ and $Y = (y,\eta)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$:*

$$\prod_{j\leq n} \left(1 + \frac{|x_j - y_j|^2}{h}\right)\left(1 + \frac{|\xi_j - \eta_j|^2}{h}\right) | < Op_h^{Weyl}(G)\Psi_{X,h}, \Psi_{Y,h} > | \leq ... \tag{3.1}$$

$$... \leq 25^n \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in I_2(\{1,...,n\})} h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Indeed, it suffices to follow the proof of [U2], which uses Wigner functions. In particular, the constant 25 appears in the computations of [U2].

End of the proof of Lemma 2.1. For every subset E of $\{1,...,n\}$, the variable x of $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be denoted, in a natural way, by $x = (x_E, x_{E^c})$ and the variable X of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ can be denoted by $X = (X_E, X_{E^c})$. For every $X_E = (x_E, \xi_E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^E \times \mathbb{R}^E$ and every $h > 0$, one can define a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^E)$ by

$$\Psi_{X_E,h}(u) = \prod_{j\in E} (\pi h)^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{|u_j - x_j|^2}{2h}} e^{\frac{i}{h}u_j.\xi_j - \frac{i}{2h}x_j.\xi_j} \qquad u \in \mathbb{R}^E \tag{3.2}$$

One will write $\Psi_{X,h} = \Psi_{X_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{X_{E^c},h}$. For every function F on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and every Z_{E^c} in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{E^c}$, we denote by $F_{Z_{E^c}}$ the function on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^E$ defined by $X_E \mapsto F(X_E, Z_{E^c})$. For every function φ on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^E$ satisfying (H), we denote by $Op_h^{weyl,E}(\varphi)$ the operator defined as in (1.1), with E replacing $\{1,...,n\}$, that is the operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^E)$ formally defined, for every f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^E)$ by

$$(Op_h^{weyl,E}(\varphi)f)(u_E) = (2\pi h)^{-|E|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^E\times\mathbb{R}^E} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x_E - y_E)\cdot\xi_E} \varphi\left(\frac{x_E + y_E}{2}, \xi_E\right) f(y_E) dy_E d\xi_E \tag{3.3}$$

for u_E in $\mathbb{R}^E$. With these notations the hybrid operator associated with the function F and the subset E satisfies

(3.4) $$< Op_h^{hyb,E}(F)f, g >=$$

$$... = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^E \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^E \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^{E^c}} \Phi_h(X_E, Y_E, Z_{E^c}) < f, \Psi_{X_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{Z_{E^c},h} >< \Psi_{Y_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{Z_{E^c},h}, g > \ dX_E dY_E dZ_{E^c}$$

where :

$$\Phi_h(X_E, Y_E, Z_{E^c}) = (2\pi h)^{-n-|E|} < Op_h^{weyl,E}(F_{Z_{E^c}})\Psi_{X_E,h}, \Psi_{Y_E,h} >_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^E)}$$

One then applies Lemma 3.1 with $\{1, ..., n\}$ replaced by E, G replaced by $F_{Z_{E^c}}$, $\Psi_{X,h}$ and $\Psi_{Y,h}$ replaced by $\Psi_{X_E,h}$ and $\Psi_{Y_E,h}$. One gets, using (3.4),

$$\left| < Op_h^{hyb,E}(F)f, g > \right| \leq I_h(f, g) \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in I_2(E)} h^{(|\alpha|+|\beta|)/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where

$$I_h(f, g) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^4)^E \times (\mathbb{R}^2)^{E^c}} K_h(X_E - Y_E)| < f, \Psi_{X_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{Z_{E^c},h} > || < \Psi_{Y_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{Z_{E^c},h}, g > |dX_E dY_E dZ_{E^c}$$

and

$$K_h(X_E - Y_E) = 25^{|E|}(2\pi h)^{-n-|E|} \prod_{j\in E} \left(1 + \frac{|x_j - y_j|^2}{h}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi_j - \eta_j|^2}{h}\right)^{-1}.$$

One has

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^2)^E} K_h(X_E) dX_E = (25h\pi^2)^{|E|}(2\pi h)^{-n-|E|}.$$

By Schur's Lemma

$$|I_h(f, g)| \leq (25h\pi^2)^{|E|}(2\pi h)^{-n-|E|} J_h(f)^{1/2} J_h(g)^{1/2}$$

$$J_h(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} | < f, \Psi_{X_E,h} \otimes \Psi_{Z_{E^c},h} > |^2 dX_E dX_{E^c}.$$

According to (2.2), $J_h(f) = (2\pi h)^n \|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Hence

$$|I_h(f, g)| \leq \left(\frac{25\pi}{2}\right)^{|E|} ||f||||g||.$$

Lemma 2.1 follows from that.

4. Proof of Lemma 2.2.

We first note that

$$e^{\frac{h}{4}\Delta_j} - I = \frac{h}{4}\Delta_j V_{jh}$$

where V_{jh} is the operator defined by

$$(V_{jh}F)(x, \xi) = (\pi h)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,1]} e^{-\frac{1}{h}(u^2+v^2)} 2\theta F(x + \theta u e_j, \xi + \theta v e_j) du dv d\theta$$

where $(e_j)_{(j\in E)}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We then observe that the operator V_{jh} is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and that its norm is smaller than 1. Consequently, for every multi-index (α, β) in $I_2(E)$:

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_h(E)F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \ \|(\prod_{j\in E} M_j)F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where, for every $j \in E$:

$$M_j = \frac{h}{4}\Delta_j \frac{\partial^{\alpha_j}}{\partial x_j^{\alpha_j}} \frac{\partial^{\beta_j}}{\partial \xi_j^{\beta_j}}$$

Hence, for every multi-index (α, β) in $I_2(E)$:

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta T_h(E)F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2^{|E|} \sup_{(p,q)\in \widetilde{I}_4(E)} \|\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^q F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} (\frac{h}{4})^{|E|}$$

where $\widetilde{I}_4(E)$ is the set of multi-indices (p, q) in $I_4(E)$ such that $p_j + q_j \geq 2$ for every $j \in E$. If F satisfies (H), with $\rho_j = \delta_j \leq 1$ for each $j \leq n$, we have, for each (p, q) in $\widetilde{I}_4(E)$, provided $0 < h \leq 1$:

$$\|\partial_x^p \partial_\xi^q F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq M \prod_{j\in E} \rho_j^2$$

Since the number of elements of $I_2(E)$ is $9^{|E|}$, it gives (2.14), provided $0 < h \leq 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

References.

[A-J-N] L. Amour, L. Jager, J. Nourrigat, *Bounded Weyl pseudodifferential operators in Fock space,* preprint, arXiv:1209.2852, sept. 2012.

[C-V] A.P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, *A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators,* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A, **69**, (1972), 1185-1187.

[C-M] R. L. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels,* Astérisque, **57**, 1978.

[C] H. O. Cordes, *On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudo-differential operators,* J. Funct. Anal, **18** (1975) 115-131.

[F] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, **122**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[HO] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators,* Volume III, Springer, 1985.

[HW] I. L. Hwang, *The L^2 boundedness of pseudo-differential operators,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **302** (1987) 55-76.

[LA1] B. Lascar, *Noyaux d'une classe d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels sur l'espace de Fock, et applications.* Séminaire Paul Krée, 3e année (1976-77), Equations aux dérivées partielles en dimension infinie, Exp. No. 6, 43 pp.

[LA2] B. Lascar, *Equations aux dérivées partielles en dimension infinie.* Vector space measures and applications (Proc. Conf., Univ. Dublin, Dublin, 1977), I, pp. 286-313, Lecture Notes in Math, **644**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[LA3] B. Lascar, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels en dimension infinie. Etude de l'hypoellipticité de la résolubilité dans des classes de fonctions holderiennes et de distributions pour des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels elliptiques,* J. Analyse Math. **33** (1978), 39-104.

[LA4] B. Lascar, *Une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques du second ordre sur un espace de Hilbert,* J. Funct. Anal. **35** (1980), no. 3, 316-343.

[LA5] B. Lascar, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels en dimension infinie. Applications.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **284** (1977), no. 13, A767-A769,

[LA6] B. Lascar, *Méthodes L^2 pour des équations aux dérivées partielles dépendant d'une infinité de variables.* Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz (1975-1976) Equations aux dérivées partielles and analyse fonctionnelle, Exp. No. 5, 11 pp. Centre Math., Ecole Polytech., Palaiseau, 1976.

[LA7] B. Lascar, *Propriétés locales d'espaces de type Sobolev en dimension infinie.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **1** (1976), no. 6, 561-584.

[LA8] B. Lascar, *Propriétés locales d'espaces de type Sobolev en dimension infinie.* Séminaire Paul Krée, 1re année (1974-75), Equations aux dérivées partielles en dimension infinie, Exp. No. 11, 16 pp.

[LA9] B. Lascar, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels d'une infinité de variables, d'après M. I. Visik.* Séminaire Pierre Lelong (Analyse), Année 1973-1974, pp. 8390. Lecture Notes in Math., **474**, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[LA10] B. Lascar, *Propriétés d'espaces de Sobolev en dimension infinie,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), no. 23, A1587-A1590.

[LER] N. Lerner, *Metrics on the phase space and non self-adjoint pseudo-differential operators,* Birkhäuser Springer, 2010.

[R] D. Robert, *Autour de l'approximation semi-classique*, Progress in Mathematics 68, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.

[U1] A. Unterberger, *Oscillateur harmonique et opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **29** (1979), no. 3, xi, 201-221.

[U2] A. Unterberger, *Les opérateurs métadifférentiels*, in Complex analysis, microlocal calculus and relativistic quantum theory, Lecture Notes in Physics **126** (1980) 205-241.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, FR CNRS 3399, EA 4535, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Moulin de la Housse, B. P. 1039, F-51687 Reims, France,
E-mail: `laurent.amour@univ-reims.fr`
E-mail: `lisette.jager@univ-reims.fr`
E-mail: `jean.nourrigat@univ-reims.fr`